Report of the evaluation for the 20th Annual Meeting of I:S:D:S/ Austrian Chapter

There have been 62 participants, to all of them we sent an evaluation form.

We got 48 back, that is a return rate of 77,41 %.

1. Quality of the event: 89,5 % thought it was extremely useful and 10,5% it was useful. The majority was satisfied with the programm (91,6% - excellent) and the organisation (82% - excellent, 18 % - good).

Here are some statements of the best aspect of this event:

- scientific program
- Quality of presentation, time for discussion
- Enough time for discussion, topics of high practical relevance
- All presentations, interdisziplinarity
- Great networking opportunity and scientific exchange
- very interesting discussions, excellent overview
- 2. **Relevance oft he event:** For 89,5% it fulfilled the educational goals and expected learning outcomes very much, for 10,4% it fulfilled it somewhat. Again 89,5% thought the presented information was well balanced and consistently supported by a valid scientific evidence base. Only 0,04% thoght of it somewhat. There was no negative valuation to this question. Forthe subsegment: how useful to you personally was each session the response was low (only 33 participants did reply to this question, 100% out of them found it extremley to useful).
- 3. **Suitability of formats used during the event:** For 91,6% there was adequate time available for discussions, questions & answers and learner engagement, for only 0,6% there was "yes, sometimes" the right choice. Here again no negative evaluation.

Some answers to "Can you indicate any innovative elements during the activity?"

- newest informations in the field of digestive surgery and microbioma
- experts opinions on problems
- Learning videosessions
- very active young surgeons
- Follow up of patients after bariatric surgery, the microbiom and new insights, Vascular surgery for visceral surgeons

- 4. Ways the event affects clinical practice: 91,6 % replied, that the information they learned will very much be implemented in theire practice, for 13% it will somewhat be implemented.
- "Can you provide ONE example how this event will influence your future practice?" Some replies:
 - Reevalution of indications in hernia surgery
 - plastic surgery after bariatric intervention is viable and effective and I will refer patients to specific centers
 - Exchangeprogramm to learn more about IBD
 - laparoscopic technical tipps
 - value and indication on cme
- 5. **Commercial bias:** 98% agreed that the information was free of commercial and/or other bias!